

The 2022-2027 Outlook for Tea Packed in Tea Bags for US Zip Codes

https://marketpublishers.com/r/272A52F05AF8EN.html

Date: November 2021

Pages: 512

Price: US\$ 595.00 (Single User License)

ID: 272A52F05AF8EN

Abstracts

This study covers the latent demand outlook for tea packed in tea bags across the states and zip codes of the United States. Latent demand (in millions of U.S. dollars), or potential industry earnings (P.I.E.) estimates are given across some 10,833 zip codes in the United States. For each zip code in question, the percent share the zip code is of its state and of the United States as a whole is reported. These comparative benchmarks allow the reader to quickly gauge a zip code vis-à-vis others. This statistical approach can prove very useful to distribution and/or sales force strategies. Using econometric models which project fundamental economic dynamics within each state and zip code, latent demand estimates are created for tea packed in tea bags. This report does not discuss the specific players in the market serving the latent demand, nor specific details at the product level. The study also does not consider short-term cyclicalities that might affect realized sales. The study, therefore, is strategic in nature, taking an aggregate and long-run view, irrespective of the players or products involved.

This study covers tea packed in tea bags as defined by the North American Industrial Classification system or NAICS (pronounced "nakes").

The NAICS code for tea packed in tea bags is 31192071. It is for this definition that aggregate latent demand estimates are derived. Tea packed in tea bags is specifically defined as follows:

31192071 Tea in consumer packages, packed in tea bags

3119207111 Tea in consumer packages, packed in tea bags



Contents

1 INTRODUCTION

- 1.1 OVERVIEW
- 1.2 WHAT IS LATENT DEMAND AND THE P.I.E.?
- 1.3 THE METHODOLOGY
 - 1.3.1 STEP 1. PRODUCT DEFINITION AND DATA COLLECTION
 - 1.3.2 STEP 2. FILTERING AND SMOOTHING
 - 1.3.3 STEP 3. FILLING IN MISSING VALUES
 - 1.3.4 STEP 4. VARYING PARAMETER, NON-LINEAR ESTIMATION
 - 1.3.5 STEP 5. FIXED-PARAMETER LINEAR ESTIMATION
 - 1.3.6 STEP 6. AGGREGATION AND BENCHMARKING
- 1.4 FREQUENTLY ASKED QUESTIONS (FAQ)
 - 1.4.1 CATEGORY DEFINITION
 - 1.4.2 UNITS
 - 1.4.3 METHODOLOGY

2 SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

- 2.1 LATENT DEMAND IN THE UNITED STATES
- 2.2 LATENT DEMAND BY YEAR IN THE UNITED STATES
- 2.3 TOP 100 CITIES IN THE UNITED STATES

3 FAR WEST

- 3.1 EXECUTIVE SUMMARY
- 3.2 LATENT DEMAND BY YEAR ALASKA
- 3.3 CITIES SORTED BY ZIPCODE ALASKA
- 3.4 LATENT DEMAND BY YEAR CALIFORNIA
- 3.5 CITIES SORTED BY ZIPCODE CALIFORNIA
- 3.6 LATENT DEMAND BY YEAR HAWAII
- 3.7 CITIES SORTED BY ZIPCODE HAWAII
- 3.8 LATENT DEMAND BY YEAR NEVADA
- 3.9 CITIES SORTED BY ZIPCODE NEVADA
- 3.10 LATENT DEMAND BY YEAR OREGON
- 3.11 CITIES SORTED BY ZIPCODE OREGON
- 3.12 LATENT DEMAND BY YEAR WASHINGTON
- 3.13 CITIES SORTED BY ZIPCODE WASHINGTON



4 GREAT LAKES

- 4.1 EXECUTIVE SUMMARY
- 4.2 LATENT DEMAND BY YEAR ILLINOIS
- 4.3 CITIES SORTED BY ZIPCODE ILLINOIS
- 4.4 LATENT DEMAND BY YEAR INDIANA
- 4.5 CITIES SORTED BY ZIPCODE INDIANA
- 4.6 LATENT DEMAND BY YEAR MICHIGAN
- 4.7 CITIES SORTED BY ZIPCODE MICHIGAN
- 4.8 LATENT DEMAND BY YEAR OHIO
- 4.9 CITIES SORTED BY ZIPCODE OHIO
- 4.10 LATENT DEMAND BY YEAR WISCONSIN
- 4.11 CITIES SORTED BY ZIPCODE WISCONSIN

5 MID-ATLANTIC

- 5.1 EXECUTIVE SUMMARY
- 5.2 LATENT DEMAND BY YEAR DELAWARE
- 5.3 CITIES SORTED BY ZIPCODE DELAWARE
- 5.4 LATENT DEMAND BY YEAR DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA
- 5.5 CITIES SORTED BY ZIPCODE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA
- 5.6 LATENT DEMAND BY YEAR MARYLAND
- 5.7 CITIES SORTED BY ZIPCODE MARYLAND
- 5.8 LATENT DEMAND BY YEAR NEW JERSEY
- 5.9 CITIES SORTED BY ZIPCODE NEW JERSEY
- 5.10 LATENT DEMAND BY YEAR NEW YORK
- 5.11 CITIES SORTED BY ZIPCODE NEW YORK
- 5.12 LATENT DEMAND BY YEAR PENNSYLVANIA
- 5.13 CITIES SORTED BY ZIPCODE PENNSYLVANIA

6 NEW ENGLAND

- **6.1 EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**
- 6.2 LATENT DEMAND BY YEAR CONNECTICUT
- 6.3 CITIES SORTED BY ZIPCODE CONNECTICUT
- 6.4 LATENT DEMAND BY YEAR MAINE
- 6.5 CITIES SORTED BY ZIPCODE MAINE
- 6.6 LATENT DEMAND BY YEAR MASSACHUSETTS



- 6.7 CITIES SORTED BY ZIPCODE MASSACHUSETTS
- 6.8 LATENT DEMAND BY YEAR NEW HAMPSHIRE
- 6.9 CITIES SORTED BY ZIPCODE NEW HAMPSHIRE
- 6.10 LATENT DEMAND BY YEAR RHODE ISLAND
- 6.11 CITIES SORTED BY ZIPCODE RHODE ISLAND
- 6.12 LATENT DEMAND BY YEAR VERMONT
- 6.13 CITIES SORTED BY ZIPCODE VERMONT

7 PLAINS

- 7.1 EXECUTIVE SUMMARY
- 7.2 LATENT DEMAND BY YEAR IOWA
- 7.3 CITIES SORTED BY ZIPCODE IOWA
- 7.4 LATENT DEMAND BY YEAR KANSAS
- 7.5 CITIES SORTED BY ZIPCODE KANSAS
- 7.6 LATENT DEMAND BY YEAR MINNESOTA
- 7.7 CITIES SORTED BY ZIPCODE MINNESOTA
- 7.8 LATENT DEMAND BY YEAR MISSOURI
- 7.9 CITIES SORTED BY ZIPCODE MISSOURI
- 7.10 LATENT DEMAND BY YEAR NEBRASKA
- 7.11 CITIES SORTED BY ZIPCODE NEBRASKA
- 7.12 LATENT DEMAND BY YEAR NORTH DAKOTA
- 7.13 CITIES SORTED BY ZIPCODE NORTH DAKOTA
- 7.14 LATENT DEMAND BY YEAR SOUTH DAKOTA
- 7.15 CITIES SORTED BY ZIPCODE SOUTH DAKOTA

8 ROCKIES

- **8.1 EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**
- 8.2 LATENT DEMAND BY YEAR COLORADO
- 8.3 CITIES SORTED BY ZIPCODE COLORADO
- 8.4 LATENT DEMAND BY YEAR IDAHO
- 8.5 CITIES SORTED BY ZIPCODE IDAHO
- 8.6 LATENT DEMAND BY YEAR MONTANA
- 8.7 CITIES SORTED BY ZIPCODE MONTANA
- 8.8 LATENT DEMAND BY YEAR UTAH
- 8.9 CITIES SORTED BY ZIPCODE UTAH
- 8.10 LATENT DEMAND BY YEAR WYOMING
- 8.11 CITIES SORTED BY ZIPCODE WYOMING



9 SOUTHEAST

- 9.1 EXECUTIVE SUMMARY
- 9.2 LATENT DEMAND BY YEAR ALABAMA
- 9.3 CITIES SORTED BY ZIPCODE ALABAMA
- 9.4 LATENT DEMAND BY YEAR ARKANSAS
- 9.5 CITIES SORTED BY ZIPCODE ARKANSAS
- 9.6 LATENT DEMAND BY YEAR FLORIDA
- 9.7 CITIES SORTED BY ZIPCODE FLORIDA
- 9.8 LATENT DEMAND BY YEAR GEORGIA
- 9.9 CITIES SORTED BY ZIPCODE GEORGIA
- 9.10 LATENT DEMAND BY YEAR KENTUCKY
- 9.11 CITIES SORTED BY ZIPCODE KENTUCKY
- 9.12 LATENT DEMAND BY YEAR LOUISIANA
- 9.13 CITIES SORTED BY ZIPCODE LOUISIANA
- 9.14 LATENT DEMAND BY YEAR MISSISSIPPI
- 9.15 CITIES SORTED BY ZIPCODE MISSISSIPPI
- 9.16 LATENT DEMAND BY YEAR NORTH CAROLINA
- 9.17 CITIES SORTED BY ZIPCODE NORTH CAROLINA
- 9.18 LATENT DEMAND BY YEAR SOUTH CAROLINA
- 9.19 CITIES SORTED BY ZIPCODE SOUTH CAROLINA
- 9.20 LATENT DEMAND BY YEAR TENNESSEE
- 9.21 CITIES SORTED BY ZIPCODE TENNESSEE
- 9.22 LATENT DEMAND BY YEAR VIRGINIA
- 9.23 CITIES SORTED BY ZIPCODE VIRGINIA
- 9.24 LATENT DEMAND BY YEAR WEST VIRGINIA
- 9.25 CITIES SORTED BY ZIPCODE WEST VIRGINIA

10 SOUTHWEST

- 10.1 EXECUTIVE SUMMARY
- 10.2 LATENT DEMAND BY YEAR ARIZONA
- 10.3 CITIES SORTED BY ZIPCODE ARIZONA
- 10.4 LATENT DEMAND BY YEAR NEW MEXICO
- 10.5 CITIES SORTED BY ZIPCODE NEW MEXICO
- 10.6 LATENT DEMAND BY YEAR OKLAHOMA
- 10.7 CITIES SORTED BY ZIPCODE OKLAHOMA
- 10.8 LATENT DEMAND BY YEAR TEXAS



10.9 CITIES SORTED BY ZIPCODE - TEXAS

11 DISCLAIMERS, WARRANTIES, AND USER AGREEMENT PROVISIONS

- 11.1 DISCLAIMERS & SAFE HARBOR
- 11.2 ICON GROUP INTERNATIONAL, INC. USER AGREEMENT PROVISIONS



I would like to order

Product name: The 2022-2027 Outlook for Tea Packed in Tea Bags for US Zip Codes

Product link: https://marketpublishers.com/r/272A52F05AF8EN.html

Price: US\$ 595.00 (Single User License / Electronic Delivery)

If you want to order Corporate License or Hard Copy, please, contact our Customer

Service:

info@marketpublishers.com

Payment

First name: Last name:

To pay by Credit Card (Visa, MasterCard, American Express, PayPal), please, click button on product page https://marketpublishers.com/r/272A52F05AF8EN.html

To pay by Wire Transfer, please, fill in your contact details in the form below:

Emaii:	
Company:	
Address:	
City:	
Zip code:	
Country:	
Tel:	
Fax:	
Your message:	
	**All fields are required
	Custumer signature

To place an order via fax simply print this form, fill in the information below and fax the completed form to +44 20 7900 3970

& Conditions at https://marketpublishers.com/docs/terms.html

Please, note that by ordering from marketpublishers.com you are agreeing to our Terms