

U.S. Military Market - A Country Level Analysis: Focus on End User, Platform Type, Component, Appropriation Type, and Country Level Analysis - Analysis and Forecast, 2025-2030

<https://marketpublishers.com/r/UA7039803121EN.html>

Date: January 2026

Pages: 193

Price: US\$ 4,900.00 (Single User License)

ID: UA7039803121EN

Abstracts

The U.S. military market was valued at \$69.18 billion in 2024 and is projected to grow at a CAGR of 3.03%, reaching \$80.85 billion by 2030. The U.S. military industry is influenced by a range of driving factors that shape defense spending, innovation, and strategic priorities. These factors include geopolitical dynamics, technological advancements, budget allocations, and global security challenges. Moreover, the U.S. government's defense budget is one of the largest globally, allocating significant resources to military modernization, research and development, and military readiness. Periodic increases in defense spending due to national security priorities or new military strategies (e.g., National Defense Strategy).

The tactical UAV and ISR platforms are shaping the U.S. military industry with platform designs, doctrines, and procurement standards. The U.S. dominance holds one of the top positions in the military UAV sector via superior defense investments and a strong industrial base with enduring ISR programs. Key initiatives in surveillance, teaming, and forward tactical deployments set worldwide benchmarks for performance, interoperability, and data exploitation. This central role cements U.S. leadership in the ISR aircraft and drones supply chain, driving the U.S. military market.

Introduction of U.S. Military Market

The study conducted by BIS Research highlights that the U.S. military market is one of the largest and most advanced defense sectors in the world, driven by the U.S.'s significant investment in its military forces, technology, and defense infrastructure. The

market encompasses a wide range of sectors, including defense technology, military equipment manufacturing, cybersecurity, logistics, intelligence, and personnel services. It is influenced by both global security dynamics and domestic policy decisions, with the Department of Defense (DoD) playing a central role in shaping its direction and priorities. The report only focuses on the demand for aircraft, drones, and space-related products in the U.S. Department of Defense.

Market Introduction

The U.S. military market represents one of the largest and most influential defense sectors globally. With the U.S. Department of Defense (DoD) as the primary driver of defense policies, procurement, and military strategy, this market plays a crucial role in maintaining the country's global defense leadership. The U.S. military market is shaped by numerous factors, including geopolitical tensions, global security dynamics, and technological advancements. The U.S. military's commitment to maintaining a technologically superior and strategically flexible force ensures its dominance in the defense sector. With a robust defense budget that consistently ranks as one of the highest in the world, the U.S. military invests heavily in modernization, innovation, and strategic power projection.

Industrial Impact

The U.S. military market has far-reaching implications that extend beyond just defense contractors and the military itself. Its influence spreads across multiple industries, shaping global supply chains, technological innovation, economic growth, and international relations. The U.S. military's commitment to maintaining technological superiority has a direct and significant impact on a variety of technological sectors, including artificial intelligence (AI), cybersecurity, autonomous systems, advanced materials, and communications. Many military innovations, developed through research and development (R&D) programs, often find applications in civilian industries once they are proven effective.

Market Segmentation

Segmentation 1: by End User

U.S. Army

U.S. Navy

U.S. Air Force

Others

U.S. Air Force to Dominate the U.S. Military Market (by End User)

The U.S. Air Force remains the dominant end user in the U.S. military market because it concentrates the largest, most technologically advanced portfolio across fighter and attack aircraft, bombers, and other aircraft, and visible demand for prime contractors and their tiered supply chains. Its modernization agenda prioritizes low observable designs, sensor fusion, and open mission systems while funding next-generation training and test infrastructure that accelerates capability insertion and shortens time to field. Recapitalization of aerial refueling and strategic mobility fleets anchors multi-year procurement, stabilizes production rates, and supports continuous upgrades in survivability, networking, and battlefield management.

Segmentation 2: by Platform Type

Fighter Aircraft

Rotary-Wing Aircraft/VTOL

Military Drones

Space Activities

Fighter Aircraft to Dominate the U.S. Military Market (by Platform Type)

Fighter aircraft constitute the dominant platform segment in the U.S. military market because air superiority and offensive counter air remain the decisive enablers of joint force operations. Demand is anchored by recapitalization of legacy fleets and continued procurement of fifth-generation fighters that deliver low observability, fused sensing, and secure networking at scale. Investment priorities favor weapons system integration, electronic warfare resilience, and open mission systems that accelerate software upgrades and mission adaptability. Sustainment intensity, including propulsion overhauls, structural life extension, and avionics modernization, creates large and

recurring aftermarket revenue streams. The emergence of collaborative combat aircraft concepts positions fighters as the lead node for teaming with attritable uncrewed systems, expanding the mission set while preserving the primacy of crewed platforms. Training and test infrastructure for advanced simulators, range instrumentation, and live virtual constructive environments compresses time to field and deepens pilot proficiency. Relative to helicopters and military drones, fighters capture the highest share of modernization funding because they deliver rapid global reach, survivability against contested defenses, and scalable strike options that underpin deterrence and crisis response.

Segmentation 3: by Component

Fuselage

Empennage

Nacelles

Pylons

Wing Components

Doors

Others

Fuselage to Dominate the U.S. Military Market (by Component)

Fuselage dominates the U.S. military market component mix because it concentrates the highest value content, integrating structure, survivability features, and mission-system architecture into a single load-bearing shell. It houses weapons bays, sensor apertures, fuel cells, environmental controls, and electromagnetic shielding, making it the primary determinant of signature management, range, and payload flexibility. It drives platform commonality and growth margins by accommodating modular avionics, wiring harnesses, cooling loops, and open systems racks that enable rapid technology insertion. It anchors manufacturing economics through advanced composites, automated fiber placement, large-tooling capital, and complex assembly sequencing that set learning curves for the entire airframe. It commands sustainment spending

since corrosion control, battle-damage repair, structural health monitoring, and depot modifications are centered on fuselage sections. It concentrates certification and airworthiness effort, including fatigue life, crashworthiness, pressurization, and electromagnetic compatibility, which elevates qualification barriers and supplier stickiness. It shapes logistics and turnaround time because access panels, door cutouts, and maintainability features in the fuselage dictate crew hours for inspections and line-replaceable unit swaps. It therefore captures the largest share of recurring and non-recurring investment, positioning fuselage producers as critical partners to prime contractors and making this component the most influential cost and capability driver in the military aircraft supply chain.

Segmentation 4: by Appropriation Type

New Builds and Procurement

Operations and Maintenance, Repair, and Overhaul (MRO)

Research, Development, Test, and Evaluation (RDT&E)

Demand - Drivers, Limitations, and Opportunities

Market Demand Drivers: Power Competition and Indo-Pacific Posture (Air Domain)

The resurgence of near-peer competition, particularly with China and Russia, remains the single most influential factor shaping U.S. military investment priorities. In the Indo-Pacific, where operational ranges are vast and adversary capabilities increasingly sophisticated, the U.S. is shifting toward distributed, resilient airpower to prevail in high-threat scenarios. This transformation is driving increased investments in forward basing, long-range precision strike, and joint command-and-control capabilities.

The Department of the Air Force (DAF) FY2025 budget request totals \$262.6 billion, a modest 1.3% increase from the FY2024 request of \$259.2 billion. Although the topline rise is narrow, the strategic reallocation of funds is notable. The Operations & Maintenance (O&M) appropriation request for FY2025 is \$64.6 billion (according to OP-32), an increase of \$1.9 billion over FY2024's request, reflecting an emphasis on core readiness programs such as flying hours, weapons system sustainment, and distributed operations.

Closely tied to this approach is the Pacific Deterrence Initiative (PDI), a multibillion-dollar funding mechanism created by Congress to strengthen the U.S. deterrence posture in Asia. Under PDI, the Air Force and Indo-Pacific Command are upgrading airfields across Guam, Tinian, Palau, and northern Australia, adding hardened shelters, fuel storage, and maintenance facilities capable of supporting dispersed operations. The FY2025 Air Force Operations & Maintenance (O&M) request of \$75.6 billion, which is a \$2.1 billion increase from FY2024, provides the operational backbone for these activities. It covers 1.1 million flying hours, fleet sustainment, and expanded logistics exercises designed to test agile basing at scale. Modern deterrence in the Pacific also relies on advanced munitions to ensure credible reach and responsiveness. FY2025 procurement includes approximately 550 Joint Air-to-Surface Standoff Missiles-Extended Range (JASSM-ER) valued at \$0.8 billion, alongside 972 Advanced Medium-Range Air-to-Air Missiles (AMRAAM) worth roughly \$1.1 billion. These procurements address lessons from the Ukraine conflict and Pacific wargames, namely, that high-intensity combat would rapidly exhaust missile inventories. Sustained munitions funding thus represents a dual investment in readiness and industrial base health.

Market Challenges: Budget Constraints and Political Uncertainty

The U.S. Military aerospace sector continues to face a challenging fiscal environment despite near-record topline funding. The Fiscal Responsibility Act of 2023 (FRA) imposed statutory caps that limit nominal growth of defense spending to roughly 1% per year through FY2025. While the Department of the Air Force's FY2025 request of ~\$217.5 billion represents a marginal increase from FY2024, inflation of 3-4% means its real purchasing power has effectively declined. This modest growth rate constrains modernization priorities and forces the Air Force to protect only the most critical programs, i.e., the B-21 Raider bomber, Next-Generation Air Dominance (NGAD) initiative (F-47), and nuclear recapitalization efforts, while reducing near-term procurement of the F-35A Lightning II and F-15EX Eagle II.

Budget ceilings are particularly restrictive for aviation programs because aircraft procurement and sustainment are among the most capital-intensive categories of defense spending. Even small shortfalls have cascading effects on production rates, supplier contracts, and long-lead component orders. As a result, major program lines are being stretched across multiple years, reducing economies of scale and delaying fleet recapitalization.

Market Opportunities: Major Modernization Programs/Attributable Collaborative Aircraft at Scale

Modernization across the Department of the Air Force is generating multi-decade opportunities across airframe, propulsion, and mission system segments. The FY2025 defense budget includes over \$61 billion for airpower, primarily focused on stealth aircraft, digital sustainment, and new unmanned platforms. The F-35A Lightning II remains the most significant aircraft procurement line, with over \$5.3 billion in FY2025 procurement funding and \$1.7 billion for continued R&D. Despite slower production due to software delays, its long-term scale (projected fleet of 1,763 aircraft) ensures enduring opportunities for sustainment, avionics upgrades, and engine improvements. The B-21 Raider program, now transitioning to early production, anchors the U.S. bomber force for decades ahead. FY2025 funding of roughly \$4.7 billion across procurement and development supports a steady ramp-up and subcontracting for airframe structures, coatings, and propulsion components.

The Next-Generation Air Dominance (NGAD) family of systems, including F-47, represents the most transformative opportunity. With FY2025 R&D funding of \$3.3 billion (up from \$2.3 billion in FY2024), NGAD integrates manned sixth-generation fighters, autonomous drones, and distributed sensor networks. NGAD's Collaborative Combat Aircraft (CCA) concept, low-cost, AI-enabled unmanned wingmen, is attracting both primes and new entrants. The Air Force has down-selected Anduril Industries and General Atomics Aeronautical Systems to develop prototype CCAs, signalling a diversification of the prime contractor landscape.

How can this report add value to an organization?

Product/Innovation Strategy: The U.S. military market has been driven by the need for technological superiority, operational efficiency, and strategic flexibility in modern warfare. As military needs evolve, companies supplying products and services to the military must focus on innovative solutions to address emerging challenges.

Growth/Marketing Strategy: In the highly competitive U.S. military market, companies must adopt a growth and marketing strategy that not only targets traditional defense contractors but also aligns with emerging technologies, sustainability, and geopolitical challenges. A well-executed strategy should focus on innovation, strategic partnerships, cost-effectiveness, and government relations to drive market share and growth.

Competitive Strategy: A competitive strategy in the market requires a combination of technological leadership, strategic relationships, cost efficiency, and global market expansion. Companies that can stay ahead of military needs, innovate in emerging

technologies, and demonstrate value through sustainability and reliability will position themselves as key players in the defense sector. By emphasizing adaptability, agility, and long-term customer relationships, businesses can not only compete but thrive in this ever-evolving and critical market.

Research Methodology

Factors for Data Prediction and Modelling

The base currency considered for the market analysis is US\$. Currencies other than the US\$ have been converted to the US\$ for all statistical calculations, considering the average conversion rate for that particular year.

The currency conversion rate has been taken from the historical exchange rate of the Oanda website.

The information rendered in the report is a result of in-depth primary interviews, surveys, and secondary analysis.

Where relevant information was not available, proxy indicators and extrapolation were employed.

Any economic downturn in the future has not been taken into consideration for the market estimation and forecast.

Technologies currently used are expected to persist through the forecast with no major technological breakthroughs.

Market Estimation and Forecast

This research study involves the usage of extensive secondary sources, such as certified publications, articles from recognized authors, white papers, annual reports of companies, directories, and major databases to collect useful and effective information for an extensive, technical, market-oriented, and commercial study of the U.S. military market.

The market engineering process involves the calculation of the market statistics, market

size estimation, market forecast, market crackdown, and data triangulation (the methodology for such quantitative data processes has been explained in further sections). The primary research study has been undertaken to gather information and validate the market numbers for segmentation types and industry trends of the key players in the market.

Primary Research

The primary sources involve industry experts from the U.S. military market and various stakeholders in the ecosystem. Respondents such as CEOs, vice presidents, marketing directors, and technology and innovation directors have been interviewed to obtain and verify both qualitative and quantitative aspects of this research study.

The key data points taken from primary sources include:

- validation and triangulation of all the numbers and graphs
- validation of report segmentations and key qualitative findings
- understanding the competitive landscape
- validation of the numbers of various markets for the market type
- percentage split of individual markets for geographical analysis

Secondary Research

This research study involves the usage of extensive secondary research, directories, company websites, and annual reports. It also makes use of databases, such as Hoovers, Bloomberg, Businessweek, and Factiva, to collect useful and effective information for an extensive, technical, market-oriented, and commercial study of the U.S. military market. In addition to the data sources, the study has been undertaken with the help of other data sources and websites.

Secondary research has been done to obtain crucial information about the industry's value chain, revenue models, the market's monetary chain, the total pool of key players, and the current and potential use cases and applications.

The key data points taken from secondary research include:

segmentations and percentage shares

data for market value

key industry trends of the top players in the market

qualitative insights into various aspects of the market, key trends, and emerging areas of innovation

quantitative data for mathematical and statistical calculations

Key Market Players and Competition Synopsis

The companies that are profiled in the U.S. military market have been selected based on inputs gathered from primary experts and by analyzing company coverage, product portfolio, and market penetration.

Some of the prominent names in the U.S. military market are:

U.S. Military Aircraft, Drones, and Defense Space Product Provider (Focus on Aircraft)

Spirit AeroSystems Inc.

GKN Aerospace

Qarbon Aerospace

Israel Aerospace Industries

Sonaca Group

Aernnova Aerospace Corporation S.A.

Latecoere

CPI Aerostructures, Inc.

RTX Corporation

Platform Manufacturers

The Boeing Company

General Atomics

Northrop Grumman Corporation

Lockheed Martin Corporation

General Dynamics Corporation

Textron Aviation Defense LLC.

Companies that are not a part of the aforementioned pool have been well represented across different sections of the report (wherever applicable).

This report can be delivered within 1 working day.

Contents

Executive Summary
Scope and Definition

1 MARKET: INDUSTRY OUTLOOK

- 1.1 Introduction to the U.S. Military Industry
 - 1.1.1 U.S. Military Aerospace Production, Volume (by End User), 2020-2024
 - 1.1.2 Leading Defense Contractor (by Total Arms Sales), 2020-2024, \$Billion
 - 1.1.3 Top Recipients of the U.S. Arms, 2024
- 1.2 U.S. Military Budget and Strategic Priorities
 - 1.2.1 Overview of Defense Budget
 - 1.2.1.1 Historical (FY2019-FY2024)
 - 1.2.1.2 Current Budget (FY2025)
 - 1.2.1.3 Futuristic Budget
 - 1.2.2 Trends: Current and Future Impact Assessment
- 1.3 Key Programs: Major Opportunities based on Active and Planned Procurement Programmes
- 1.4 Customer Access and Procurement Channels
 - 1.4.1 Customer Analysis
 - 1.4.1.1 U.S. Air Force
 - 1.4.1.2 U.S. Army
 - 1.4.1.3 U.S. Navy
 - 1.4.2 Navigating U.S. Military Aerospace Procurement (2022–2025)
 - 1.4.2.1 U.S. Defense Budgets and Key Aerospace Programs (FY2023–FY2024)
 - 1.4.2.2 Major Procurement Contracts and Execution (2022-2025)
 - 1.4.3 Contracting Types
 - 1.4.3.1 Firm-Fixed-Price (FFP) Contracts
 - 1.4.3.2 Cost-Plus Contracts
 - 1.4.3.3 Indefinite Delivery/Indefinite Quantity (IDIQ) Contracts
 - 1.4.3.4 Multiple Award Contracts (MACs) and Multiple Award Schedule (MAS)
 - 1.4.3.5 Others (Basic Ordering Agreements, Blanket Purchase Agreements, Long-Term Agreements, and OTA Agreements)
 - 1.4.3.6 Summary
- 1.5 Supplier Tier
 - 1.5.1 Prime Contractors/OEMs
 - 1.5.2 Tier-1 and Tier-2 Suppliers
 - 1.5.3 Subassemblies and Aerostructures Supplies

1.6 U.S. Military Market TAM and Sonaca Group's Serviceable Available market (SAM) Analysis, 2024

1.7 Market Dynamics Overview

1.7.1 Market Drivers

1.7.1.1 Power Competition and Indo-Pacific Posture (Air Domain)

1.7.1.2 Rising Budgets and Air Superiority Modernization (F-35A, B-21, NGAD Family including F-47)

1.7.1.3 Innovation and New Entrants

1.7.2 Market Challenges

1.7.2.1 Budget Constraints and Political Uncertainty

1.7.2.2 Program Delays, Cost Overruns, and Sustainment Burden

1.7.2.3 Industrial Base Constraints and Supply Chain Bottlenecks

1.7.3 Market Opportunities

1.7.3.1 Major Modernization Programs/Attritable Collaborative Aircraft at Scale

1.7.3.2 Munitions Surge and Multi-Year Buys

2 APPLICATION

2.1 Application Summary

2.2 U.S. Military Market (by End User), Value, 2024-2030

2.2.1 U.S. Army

2.2.2 U.S. Navy

2.2.3 U.S. Air Force

2.2.4 Others (Joint Program Offices and Defense Agencies)

3 PRODUCTS

3.1 Product Summary

3.2 U.S. Military Market (by Platform Type), Value, 2024-2030

3.2.1 Fighter Aircraft

3.2.1.1 Fighter and Attack Aircraft

3.2.1.2 Bombers

3.2.1.3 Others (Transport Aircraft and Electronic Warfare)

3.2.2 Rotary-Wing Aircraft/VTOL

3.2.3 Military Drones

3.2.3.1 Medium-Altitude Long Endurance (MALE) Drones

3.2.3.2 High-Altitude Long Endurance (HALE) Drones

3.2.3.3 Small Drones

3.2.4 Space Activities

3.3 U.S. Military Market (by Component), Value, 2024-2030

- 3.3.1 Fuselage
- 3.3.2 Empennage
- 3.3.3 Nacelles
- 3.3.4 Pylons
- 3.3.5 Wing Components
- 3.3.6 Doors
- 3.3.7 Others

3.4 U.S. Military Market (by Appropriation Type), Value, 2024-2030

- 3.4.1 New Builds and Procurement
 - 3.4.1.1 U.S. Air Force Procurement
 - 3.4.1.2 U.S. Navy Procurement
 - 3.4.1.3 U.S. Army Procurement
- 3.4.2 Operations and Maintenance, Repair, and Overhaul (MRO)
- 3.4.3 Research, Development, Test, and Evaluation (RDT&E)

4 MARKETS - COMPETITIVE BENCHMARKING & COMPANY PROFILES

4.1 Competitive Landscape (Platform Manufacturers)

4.2 Strategic Initiatives (Partnerships, Acquisitions, and Product Launches)

4.3 Case Studies of Successful Market Entry or Expansion

- 4.3.1 Case Study 1: BAE Systems Inc. (U.K.-Based, U.S. Subsidiary)
- 4.3.2 Case Study 2: Safran Electronics & Defense (France-Based, U.S. Subsidiary)

4.4 Company Profiles

4.4.1 Component Manufacturers

4.4.1.1 Spirit AeroSystems Inc.

- 4.4.1.1.1 Overview
- 4.4.1.1.2 Top Products/Product Portfolio
- 4.4.1.1.3 Key Sales Channels
- 4.4.1.1.4 Top Competitors
- 4.4.1.1.5 Target Customers
- 4.4.1.1.6 Geographic Presence
- 4.4.1.1.7 Brief History
- 4.4.1.1.8 Key Personnel
- 4.4.1.1.9 Business Ambition and Strategy
- 4.4.1.1.10 Business and Operating Model
- 4.4.1.1.11 Technology Model
- 4.4.1.1.12 Analyst's View
- 4.4.1.1.13 Strengths and Competitive Advantages

- 4.4.1.1.14 Weaknesses and Vulnerabilities
- 4.4.1.2 GKN AEROSPACE
 - 4.4.1.2.1 Overview
 - 4.4.1.2.2 Top Products/Product Portfolio
 - 4.4.1.2.3 Key Sales Channels
 - 4.4.1.2.4 Top Competitors
 - 4.4.1.2.5 Target Customers
 - 4.4.1.2.6 Geographic Presence
 - 4.4.1.2.7 Brief History
 - 4.4.1.2.8 Key Personnel
 - 4.4.1.2.9 Business Ambition and Strategy
 - 4.4.1.2.10 Business and Operating Model
 - 4.4.1.2.11 Technology Model
 - 4.4.1.2.12 Analyst's View
 - 4.4.1.2.13 Strengths and Competitive Advantages
 - 4.4.1.2.14 Weaknesses and Vulnerabilities
- 4.4.1.3 Qarbon Aerospace
 - 4.4.1.3.1 Overview
 - 4.4.1.3.2 Top Products/Product Portfolio
 - 4.4.1.3.3 Key Sales Channels
 - 4.4.1.3.4 Top Competitors
 - 4.4.1.3.5 Target Customers
 - 4.4.1.3.6 Geographic Presence
 - 4.4.1.3.7 Brief History
 - 4.4.1.3.8 Key Personnel
 - 4.4.1.3.9 Business Ambition and Strategy
 - 4.4.1.3.10 Business and Operating Model
 - 4.4.1.3.11 Technology Model
 - 4.4.1.3.12 Analyst's View
 - 4.4.1.3.13 Strengths and Competitive Advantages
 - 4.4.1.3.14 Weaknesses and Vulnerabilities
- 4.4.1.4 Israel Aerospace Industries (IAI)
 - 4.4.1.4.1 Overview
 - 4.4.1.4.2 Top Products/Product Portfolio
 - 4.4.1.4.3 Key Sales Channels
 - 4.4.1.4.4 Top Competitors
 - 4.4.1.4.5 Target Customers
 - 4.4.1.4.6 Geographic Presence
 - 4.4.1.4.7 Brief History

- 4.4.1.4.8 Key Personnel
- 4.4.1.4.9 Business Ambition and Strategy
- 4.4.1.4.10 Business and Operating Model
- 4.4.1.4.11 Technology Model
- 4.4.1.4.12 Analyst's View
- 4.4.1.4.13 Strengths and Competitive Advantages
- 4.4.1.4.14 Weaknesses and Vulnerabilities
- 4.4.1.5 Sonaca Group
 - 4.4.1.5.1 Overview
 - 4.4.1.5.2 Top Products/Product Portfolio
 - 4.4.1.5.3 Key Sales Channels
 - 4.4.1.5.4 Top Competitors
 - 4.4.1.5.5 Target Customers
 - 4.4.1.5.6 Geographic Presence
 - 4.4.1.5.7 Brief History
 - 4.4.1.5.8 Key Personnel
 - 4.4.1.5.9 Business Ambition and Strategy
 - 4.4.1.5.10 Business and Operating Model
 - 4.4.1.5.11 Technology Model
 - 4.4.1.5.12 Analyst's View
 - 4.4.1.5.13 Strengths and Competitive Advantages
 - 4.4.1.5.14 Weaknesses and Vulnerabilities
- 4.4.1.6 Aernnova Aerospace Corporation S.A.
 - 4.4.1.6.1 Overview
 - 4.4.1.6.2 Top Products/Product Portfolio
 - 4.4.1.6.3 Key Sales Channels
 - 4.4.1.6.4 Top Competitors
 - 4.4.1.6.5 Target Customers
 - 4.4.1.6.6 Geographic Presence
 - 4.4.1.6.7 Brief History
 - 4.4.1.6.8 Key Personnel
 - 4.4.1.6.9 Business Ambition and Strategy
 - 4.4.1.6.10 Business and Operating Model
 - 4.4.1.6.11 Technology Model
 - 4.4.1.6.12 Analyst's View
 - 4.4.1.6.13 Strengths and Competitive Advantages
 - 4.4.1.6.14 Weaknesses and Vulnerabilities
- 4.4.1.7 Latecoere
 - 4.4.1.7.1 Overview

- 4.4.1.7.2 Top Products/Product Portfolio
- 4.4.1.7.3 Key Sales Channels
- 4.4.1.7.4 Top Competitors
- 4.4.1.7.5 Target Customers
- 4.4.1.7.6 Geographic Presence
- 4.4.1.7.7 Brief History
- 4.4.1.7.8 Key Personnel
- 4.4.1.7.9 Business Ambition and Strategy
- 4.4.1.7.10 Business and Operating Model
- 4.4.1.7.11 Technology Model
- 4.4.1.7.12 Analyst's View
- 4.4.1.7.13 Strengths and Competitive Advantages
- 4.4.1.7.14 Weaknesses and Vulnerabilities
- 4.4.1.8 CPI Aerostructures, Inc.
 - 4.4.1.8.1 Overview
 - 4.4.1.8.2 Top Products/Product Portfolio
 - 4.4.1.8.3 Key Sales Channels
 - 4.4.1.8.4 Top Competitors
 - 4.4.1.8.5 Target Customers
 - 4.4.1.8.6 Geographic Presence
 - 4.4.1.8.7 Brief History
 - 4.4.1.8.8 Key Personnel
 - 4.4.1.8.9 Business Ambition and Strategy
 - 4.4.1.8.10 Business and Operating Model
 - 4.4.1.8.11 Technology Model
 - 4.4.1.8.12 Analyst's View
 - 4.4.1.8.13 Strengths and Competitive Advantages
 - 4.4.1.8.14 Weaknesses and Vulnerabilities
- 4.4.1.9 RTX Corporation
 - 4.4.1.9.1 Overview
 - 4.4.1.9.2 Top Products/Product Portfolio
 - 4.4.1.9.3 Key Sales Channels
 - 4.4.1.9.4 Top Competitors
 - 4.4.1.9.5 Target Customers
 - 4.4.1.9.6 Geographic Presence
 - 4.4.1.9.7 Brief History
 - 4.4.1.9.8 Key Personnel
 - 4.4.1.9.9 Business Ambition and Strategy
 - 4.4.1.9.10 Business and Operating Model

- 4.4.1.9.11 Technology Model
- 4.4.1.9.12 Analyst's View
- 4.4.1.9.13 Strengths and Competitive Advantages
- 4.4.1.9.14 Weaknesses and Vulnerabilities
- 4.4.2 Platform Manufacturers
 - 4.4.2.1 The Boeing Company
 - 4.4.2.1.1 Overview
 - 4.4.2.1.2 Top Products/Product Portfolio
 - 4.4.2.1.3 Key Sales Channels
 - 4.4.2.1.4 Top Competitors
 - 4.4.2.1.5 Target Customers
 - 4.4.2.1.6 Market Share, 2024
 - 4.4.2.1.7 Geographic Presence
 - 4.4.2.1.8 Brief History
 - 4.4.2.1.9 Key Personnel
 - 4.4.2.1.10 Business Ambition and Strategy
 - 4.4.2.1.11 Business and Operating Model
 - 4.4.2.1.12 Technology Model
 - 4.4.2.1.13 Analyst's View
 - 4.4.2.1.14 Strengths and Competitive Advantages
 - 4.4.2.1.15 Weaknesses and Vulnerabilities
 - 4.4.2.2 General Atomics
 - 4.4.2.2.1 Overview
 - 4.4.2.2.2 Top Products/Product Portfolio
 - 4.4.2.2.3 Key Sales Channels
 - 4.4.2.2.4 Top Competitors
 - 4.4.2.2.5 Target Customers
 - 4.4.2.2.6 Market Share, 2024
 - 4.4.2.2.7 Geographic Presence
 - 4.4.2.2.8 Brief History
 - 4.4.2.2.9 Key Personnel
 - 4.4.2.2.10 Business Ambition and Strategy
 - 4.4.2.2.11 Business and Operating Model
 - 4.4.2.2.12 Technology Model
 - 4.4.2.2.13 Analyst's View
 - 4.4.2.2.14 Strengths and Competitive Advantages
 - 4.4.2.2.15 Weaknesses and Vulnerabilities
 - 4.4.2.3 Northrop Grumman Corporation
 - 4.4.2.3.1 Overview

- 4.4.2.3.2 Top Products/Product Portfolio
- 4.4.2.3.3 Key Sales Channels
- 4.4.2.3.4 Top Competitors
- 4.4.2.3.5 Target Customers
- 4.4.2.3.6 Market Share, 2024
- 4.4.2.3.7 Geographic Presence
- 4.4.2.3.8 Brief History
- 4.4.2.3.9 Key Personnel
- 4.4.2.3.10 Business Ambition and Strategy
- 4.4.2.3.11 Business and Operating Model
- 4.4.2.3.12 Technology Model
- 4.4.2.3.13 Analyst's View
- 4.4.2.3.14 Strengths and Competitive Advantages
- 4.4.2.3.15 Weaknesses and Vulnerabilities
- 4.4.2.4 Lockheed Martin Corporation
 - 4.4.2.4.1 Overview
 - 4.4.2.4.2 Top Products/Product Portfolio
 - 4.4.2.4.3 Key Sales Channels
 - 4.4.2.4.4 Top Competitors
 - 4.4.2.4.5 Target Customers
 - 4.4.2.4.6 Market Share, 2024
 - 4.4.2.4.7 Geographic Presence
 - 4.4.2.4.8 Brief History
 - 4.4.2.4.9 Key Personnel
 - 4.4.2.4.10 Business Ambition and Strategy
 - 4.4.2.4.11 Business and Operating Model
 - 4.4.2.4.12 Technology Model
 - 4.4.2.4.13 Analyst's View
 - 4.4.2.4.14 Strengths and Competitive Advantages
 - 4.4.2.4.15 Weaknesses and Vulnerabilities
- 4.4.2.5 General Dynamics Corporation
 - 4.4.2.5.1 Overview
 - 4.4.2.5.2 Top Products/Product Portfolio
 - 4.4.2.5.3 Key Sales Channels
 - 4.4.2.5.4 Top Competitors
 - 4.4.2.5.5 Target Customers
 - 4.4.2.5.6 Market Share, 2024
 - 4.4.2.5.7 Geographic Presence
 - 4.4.2.5.8 Brief History

- 4.4.2.5.9 Key Personnel
- 4.4.2.5.10 Business Ambition and Strategy
- 4.4.2.5.11 Business and Operating Model
- 4.4.2.5.12 Technology Model
- 4.4.2.5.13 Analyst's View
- 4.4.2.5.14 Strengths and Competitive Advantages
- 4.4.2.5.15 Weaknesses and Vulnerabilities
- 4.4.2.6 Textron Aviation Defense LLC.
 - 4.4.2.6.1 Overview
 - 4.4.2.6.2 Top Products/Product Portfolio
 - 4.4.2.6.3 Key Sales Channels
 - 4.4.2.6.4 Top Competitors
 - 4.4.2.6.5 Target Customers
 - 4.4.2.6.6 Market Share, 2024
 - 4.4.2.6.7 Geographic Presence
 - 4.4.2.6.8 Brief History
 - 4.4.2.6.9 Key Personnel
 - 4.4.2.6.10 Business Ambition and Strategy
 - 4.4.2.6.11 Business and Operating Model
 - 4.4.2.6.12 Technology Model
 - 4.4.2.6.13 Analyst's View
 - 4.4.2.6.14 Strengths and Competitive Advantages
 - 4.4.2.6.15 Weaknesses and Vulnerabilities
- 4.4.3 List of Other Key Companies

5 PROCUREMENT PROGRAM

- 5.1 Procurement Programs and Deliveries (2022–2025)
 - 5.1.1 U.S.
 - 5.1.1.1 Introduction
 - 5.1.1.2 Global Procurement Programs of F-35 (by Country), 2022–2025
 - 5.1.1.3 Service and Agency Budget Segmentation (by Year)
 - 5.1.1.4 Budget Category Summaries (RDT&E, Procurement, O&M, Personnel), by Year
 - 5.1.1.5 Procurement Programs and Deliveries, ISR Budget (by Platform Type), 2022-2025
 - 5.1.1.6 Conclusion
 - 5.2 F-35 Procurement Decision Makers (U.S. Focus)
 - 5.2.1 Overview of the F-35 Program

- 5.2.2 Key U.S. Decision Makers in the F-35 Procurement Process
- 5.2.3 Procurement Timeline and Decision Process
- 5.2.4 External Influences on F-35 Procurement Decisions
- 5.2.5 Conclusion
- 5.3 F-35 Lightning II: Who Supply Whom

6 RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

- 6.1 Data Sources
 - 6.1.1 Primary Data Sources
 - 6.1.2 Secondary Data Sources
 - 6.1.3 Data Triangulation
- 6.2 Market Estimation and Forecast

List Of Figures

LIST OF FIGURES

- Figure 1: U.S. Military Market (by Scenario), \$Billion, 2025, 2027, and 2030
- Figure 2: U.S. Market Snapshot, 2024
- Figure 3: U.S. Military Market (by End User), \$Million, 2024, 2027, and 2030
- Figure 4: U.S. Military Market (by Platform Type), \$Million, 2024, 2027, and 2030
- Figure 5: U.S. Military Market (by Component), \$Million, 2024, 2027, and 2030
- Figure 6: U.S. Military Market (by Appropriation Type), \$Million, 2024, 2027, and 2030
- Figure 7: U.S. Military Market Segmentation
- Figure 8: Projected U.S. National Defense Budget, FY2025-FY2029, \$Billion
- Figure 9: FY2025 USAF Budget Breakdown for Munitions, O&M, and Procurement
- Figure 10: U.S. Military Market (by End User), \$Million, 2024, 2027, and 2030
- Figure 11: U.S. Military Market (U.S. Army), \$Million, 2024-2030
- Figure 12: U.S. Military Market (U.S. Navy), \$Million, 2024-2030
- Figure 13: U.S. Military Market (U.S. Air Force), \$Million, 2024-2030
- Figure 14: U.S. Military Market (Others), \$Million, 2024-2030
- Figure 15: U.S. Military Market (by Platform Type), \$Million, 2024, 2027, and 2030
- Figure 16: U.S. Military Market (by Component), \$Million, 2024, 2027, and 2030
- Figure 17: U.S. Military Market (by Appropriation Type), \$Million, 2024, 2027, and 2030
- Figure 18: U.S. Military Market (by Fighter Aircraft), \$Million, 2024-2030
- Figure 19: U.S. Military Market (Fighter and Attack Aircraft), \$Million, 2024-2030
- Figure 20: U.S. Military Market (Bombers), \$Million, 2024-2030
- Figure 21: U.S. Military Market (Others), \$Million, 2024-2030
- Figure 22: U.S. Military Market (Rotary-Wing Aircraft/VTOL), \$Million, 2024-2030
- Figure 23: U.S. Military Market (by Military Drones), \$Million, 2024-2030
- Figure 24: U.S. Military Market (Medium-Altitude Long Endurance (MALE) Drones), \$Million, 2024-2030
- Figure 25: U.S. Military Market (High-Altitude Long Endurance (HALE) Drones), \$Million, 2024-2030
- Figure 26: U.S. Military Market (Small Drones), \$Million, 2024-2030
- Figure 27: U.S. Military Market (Space Activities), \$Million, 2024-2030
- Figure 28: U.S. Military Market (Fuselage), \$Million, 2024-2030
- Figure 29: U.S. Military Market (Empennage), \$Million, 2024-2030
- Figure 30: U.S. Military Market (Nacelles), \$Million, 2024-2030
- Figure 31: U.S. Military Market (Pylons), \$Million, 2024-2030
- Figure 32: U.S. Military Market (Wing Components), \$Million, 2024-2030
- Figure 33: U.S. Military Market (Doors), \$Million, 2024-2030

Figure 34: U.S. Military Market (Others), \$Million, 2024-2030

Figure 35: U.S. Military Market (by New Builds and Procurement), \$Million, 2024-2030

Figure 36: U.S. Military Market (Operations and Maintenance, Repair, and Overhaul (MRO)), \$Million, 2024-2030

Figure 37: U.S. Military Market (Research, Development, Test, and Evaluation (RDT&E)), \$Million, 2024-2030

Figure 38: U.S. National (NIP) and Military (MIP) Intelligence Budgets, FY2022–FY2025, \$Billion

Figure 39: Data Triangulation

Figure 40: Top-Down and Bottom-Up Approach

Figure 41: Assumptions and Limitations

List Of Tables

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1: Market Snapshot

Table 2: Competitive Landscape Snapshot

Table 3: Major U.S. Aerospace Primes and their Integration Roles

Table 4: U.S. Military Aerospace Production, Volume (by End User), 2020-2024

Table 5: Top Recipients of the U.S. Arms, 2024

Table 6: U.S. National Defense Budget, FY2019-FY2024, \$Billion

Table 7: FY2025 President's Defense Budget Request, \$Billion

Table 8: Current Trends Impact Assessment, U.S. Military Industry

Table 9: Future Trends Impact Assessment, U.S. Military Industry

Table 10: Key Trends in the U.S. Military Ecosystem

Table 11: U.S. Military Procurement Program - Active and Planned (Air and Cross-Domain Technologies, FY2025)

Table 12: Customer Analysis: U.S. Air Force, U.S. Army, and U.S. Navy (including Marine Corps)

Table 13: Summarizing Select Aviation Procurement Authorized in Recent Defense Budgets

Table 14: Major Procurement Contracts and Execution (2022–2025)

Table 15: Key U.S. Defense Contract Types and Agreements — Definitions, Features, and Typical Use Cases

Table 16: Leading Prime Contractors in U.S. Aerospace (USAF Scope)

Table 17: Leading Tier-1 Suppliers - U.S. Aerospace

Table 18: Leading Tier-2 Suppliers - U.S. Aerospace

Table 19: Leading Subassemblies and Aerostructures Suppliers

Table 20: SAF Platform/Subsystem Supplier Mapping

Table 21: FY2025 ACE and PDI Implementation Overview

Table 22: Air Superiority Modernization Portfolio (FY2025-FY2026)

Table 23: Emerging Innovation Pathways in the Air Domain

Table 24: Budget and Political Restraints Affecting Defense Aerospace

Table 25: Major Programmatic and Sustainment Restraints

Table 26: Industrial and Supply-Chain Constraints

Table 27: Major Modernization and Aerospace Opportunities

Table 28: Munitions and Export Growth Opportunities

Table 29: U.S. Military Market (by End User), \$Million, 2024-2030

Table 30: U.S. Military Market (by Platform Type), \$Million, 2024-2030

Table 31: U.S. Military Market (by Component), \$Million, 2024-2030

- Table 32: U.S. Military Market (by Appropriation Type), \$Million, 2024-2030
- Table 33: Procurement - U.S. Air Force
- Table 34: Procurement - U.S. Navy
- Table 35: U.S. Navy Aircraft Procurement
- Table 36: Procurement - U.S. Army
- Table 37: U.S. Army Aircraft Procurement
- Table 38: Recent U.S. Military Aircraft MRO Contracts (2018-2025)
- Table 39: Current Research, Development, Test, and Evaluation (RDT&E) Programs in U.S. Military
- Table 40: Strategic Initiatives (by Leading Companies), 2018-2025
- Table 41: List of Other Key Companies
- Table 42: DoD Total (Base + FY2023 Supplement), Budget (by Appropriation Title), \$Million
- Table 43: DoD Total (Base + FY 2023 Supplement), Budget (by Military Department), \$Million
- Table 44: DoD Total (Base + FY 2023 Supplement), Budget (by Appropriation Title)
- Table 45: Service and Agency Budget Segmentation (by Year), 2022-2025
- Table 46: Service and Agency Budget Segmentation (by Year), 2022-2025
- Table 47: Procurement Programs and Deliveries, ISR Budget (by Platform Type), 2022-2025
- Table 48: Key U.S. Decision Makers in the F-35 Procurement Process
- Table 49: Procurement Timeline and Decision Process
- Table 50: External Influences on F-35 Procurement Decisions
- Table 51: List of F-35 Lightning II Aircraft Component/Material Manufacturers

I would like to order

Product name: U.S. Military Market - A Country Level Analysis: Focus on End User, Platform Type, Component, Appropriation Type, and Country Level Analysis - Analysis and Forecast, 2025-2030

Product link: <https://marketpublishers.com/r/UA7039803121EN.html>

Price: US\$ 4,900.00 (Single User License / Electronic Delivery)

If you want to order Corporate License or Hard Copy, please, contact our Customer Service:

info@marketpublishers.com

Payment

To pay by Credit Card (Visa, MasterCard, American Express, PayPal), please, click button on product page <https://marketpublishers.com/r/UA7039803121EN.html>