

# Changing the Economics of Nuclear Power: Impact of Shale Gas E&P

https://marketpublishers.com/r/C14F42BE1C6EN.html

Date: February 2011

Pages: 30

Price: US\$ 490.00 (Single User License)

ID: C14F42BE1C6EN

### **Abstracts**

Shale Gas E&P Impact on Economics of Nuclear Power

BMI View: The proliferation of shale gas E&P activity in the US has turned the energy market on its head and is having a profound impact on investment calculations for new power generation assets. We believe that the ensuing glut in US natural gas supply has effectively killed off the momentum for a nuclear renaissance in the US. We anticipate US gas price dynamics will cascade through the European gas market, and in tandem with ongoing exploration for shale gas in Europe, we believe the European nuclear newbuild plans can also be in line for a re-assessment by sponsors and financiers.

The financial crisis of 2008/2009 brought financing ventures for nuclear power to an abrupt halt. With sponsors and financiers taking a more circumspect approach to long-term risk, these extremely capital intensive projects went on the backburner and several were subsequently abandoned, at least for the time being (see Calvert Cliffs 3 in the US, Belene in Bulgaria and Cernadova 3 & 4 in Romania).

In tandem, the proliferation of exploration and production (E&P) activities for unconventional gas in the US proved so successful that most recent estimates show that (depending on depletion rates) the US can be self sufficient in natural gas for a century and possibly longer. [1]

This has proved to be a crucial factor that is altering the economics behind nuclear power projects. BMI believes that the dramatic increase in US domestic natural gas production and subsequent reduction in natural gas prices was the deciding factor that has tipped the balance of economic viability against nuclear power. According to the latest long-term price forecasts from the US Energy Information Administration (EIA), it



could be 15 years before nuclear power becomes cost competitive in relation to natural gas again.

In this special report we highlight trends and patterns that have arisen out of the US regarding the correlation between rising shale gas production and feasibility of nuclear power projects. We ask what this could mean for Europe's nuclear power sector as shale gas exploration proliferates across the region at a time when several governments are still trying to get plans for nuclear new builds off the ground.

We conclude that the dynamics of unconventional gas developments are different in the US and Europe; indeed, it is too soon to tell what the prospects are for unconventional gas production in Europe, and therefore have only attempted a theoretical scenario in this case. Based on this, we do believe that sponsors in Europe will hold off from commitments to major nuclear projects until they are able to gauge what, if any, impact on European gas prices the current exploration will have.



#### **Contents**

introduction

Shale gas E&p

Impact on Economics of Nuclear Power

Chapter

the Story so Far

Nuclear Investments and Shale gas in the us

Chart: Short Break or Break Up?

Brent Crude and Henry hub Spot Prices, Us\$

Chart: off its hi Ghs

Price of Natural gas Used in Electricity Production

Chart: Risi ng Domestic Produ Ction Redu ces Need for Imports

us Natural gas Historical Data

Chart: too Bullis h on us nu Clear?

United States - Installed Electricity Capacity Forecast, GW

Chart: the Point When nu Clear Becomes Cost Competitive With Gas/ tw o gas Price

**Forecasts** 

Henry hub Spot Price, (2009 Dollars/mn Btu)/ eia Forecasts

Table: list of us Nuclear Power Projects

Project Name: Construction of Nuclear Power Projects, South Carolina/ two Nuclear Reactors, Levy County, Florida/ Nuclear Power Plant, Texas/ South Texas Project, Units

3&4, 2700mw/ Bellefonte Unit 1 Nuclear Power Plant/ Calvert Cliffs iii Nuclear Reactor Project/ two Nuclear Reactors, North Carolina/ lee Nuclear Power Plant, South Carolina/ Vogtle 3 & 4 Reactors, Georgia/ two new Reactors, Turkey Point Npp, Florida/ Watts Bar.

Unit 2, Tennessee

Federal Loan Guarantees: Still not Enough to get Nuclear Going

Chapter

Across the Atlantic

Following in America's Footsteps?

**Chart: Digging Deep** 

Unconventional gas Plays in Europe

Chart: EU Ropean nu Clear Power Plans - Still on the Drawi ng Board Number of Nuclear Reactors Planned, Proposed and in Construction on co2 Reduction

Table: mu ch Uncertainty Amongst EU Ropean nu Clear Power Projects

Countries: Bulgaria, Czech Republic, Poland, Ukraine, France, Kazakhstan, United



Kingdom,

Finland, Netherlands, Lithuania, Estonia, Slovakia, Slovenia, Switzerland, Romania

Chart: More gas fi red Power Plants for the eu

European Union - Installed Electricity Capacity Forecast, gw

on Energy Security

Changing the Economics of Nuclear Power: Impact of Shale gas E&p

Conclusion

Outlook

Wait-and-see Before Committing to Nuclear Power

References

Appendix

**United States** 

new Nuclear Power Plant in the Works for Dominion

United Kingdom

Revolutionise the Energy Market: Greener (and Nuclear)?

Chart: Catching up With Other EU Countries

Gross Electricity Generation From Renewables (gwh), 2007

Stimulating Low-carbon Forms of Generation

not Clear on Nuclear

Chart: mu ch Room for Improvement for uk Renewables

Electricity Production Capacity (mw), 2007

who Will pay the Price?

Italy

A new Referendum: a Sword of Damocles on Italian Nuclear Renaissance

Chart: nu Clear Power, ris ky for EU Ropeans

Q: When you Think About Nuclear Power, What First Comes to Mind?

Chart: Italians, not Sure They Want nu Clear

Q: Should the Current Level of Nuclear Energy as a Proportion of all Energy Sources be

Multiple Problems Imperil Italian Nuclear Sector

Poland

Hurdles Remain as pge Enters Pre-feasibility Phase on Npp

Table: mu ch Uncertainty Amongst EU Ropean nu Clear Power Projects

Countries: Bulgaria, Czech Republic, Poland, Ukraine, France, Kazakhstan, United Kingdom,

Turkey, Finland, Netherlands, Lithuania, Estonia, Slovakia, Slovenia, Switzerland, Romania,

Russia

Europe

EU ets lii: Implications for European Energy Investments . 24#



Chart: the Importance of Coal-fi red Plants for EU Member States Contribution of Coal and Lignite to Electricity mix 2010, % of Total

Those Most at Risk

Poland: Investment Already Affected Czech Republic: CEZ Mixes it up

Table: Planned Constructions or Expansi ons of Coal-fired Power Plants

Countries: Poland, Czech Republic, Greece

Greece: Liberalisation a Precondition for Diversification

Germany: Large Opportunities for Renewable Energy Sources

**Business Monitor International Ltd** 

**Special Report** 

Table: Electricity Generation in EU Countries With Coal-fi red Plants, 2010e

Countries: Estonia, Poland, Greece, Czech Republic, Germany, Bulgaria, Denmark, Romania.

uk, Slovenia, Ireland, Portugal, Netherlands, Slovakia, Spain, Hungary, Finland, Italy, Austria,

Belgium, France, Sweden

Europe

Eur1trn in Infrastructure to Realise Europe's Energy Strategy

Chart: EU Priority Corrid Ors for Electricity, Gas & Oil

Map of Europe

How to Finance Over Eur1trillion of Energy Infrastructure?

Chart: Facing hi gh Debt pi Les

European Utilities net Debt (eur, mn) in 2008 and 2009



#### I would like to order

Product name: Changing the Economics of Nuclear Power: Impact of Shale Gas E&P

Product link: <a href="https://marketpublishers.com/r/C14F42BE1C6EN.html">https://marketpublishers.com/r/C14F42BE1C6EN.html</a>

Price: US\$ 490.00 (Single User License / Electronic Delivery)

If you want to order Corporate License or Hard Copy, please, contact our Customer

Service:

info@marketpublishers.com

## **Payment**

To pay by Credit Card (Visa, MasterCard, American Express, PayPal), please, click button on product page <a href="https://marketpublishers.com/r/C14F42BE1C6EN.html">https://marketpublishers.com/r/C14F42BE1C6EN.html</a>